1	Senate Bill No. 630
2	(By Senators Plymale, McCabe, Browning, Kessler (Mr. President),
3	Unger, Miller, Tucker and Stollings)
4	
5	[Introduced February 17, 2012; referred to the Committee on
6	Transportation and Infrastructure; and then to the Committee on
7	Government Organization.]
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	A BILL to amend the Code of West Virginia, 1931, as amended, by
13	adding thereto a new section, designated \$17-2A-8d, relating
14	to directing the Commissioner of Highways to conduct a study
15	on alternative revenue mechanisms for development and
16	maintenance of state roads and highways; making legislative
17	findings and specifying intent; specifying study design;
18	authorizing pilot projects and interagency cooperation; and
19	requiring report and recommendations by certain date.
20	Be it enacted by the Legislature of West Virginia:
21	That the Code of West Virginia, 1931, as amended, be amended
22	by adding thereto a new section, designated §17-2A-8d, to read as
23	follows:

- 1 ARTICLE 2A. ROAD DEVELOPMENT AND MAINTENANCE FEE.
- 2 §17-2A-8d. Study of alternative revenue sources to fund state roads
- 3 and highways; findings and intent; report;
- 4 recommendations.
- 5 (a) The Legislature finds as follows:
- 6 (1) An efficient and effective transportation system is 7 critical for West Virginia's economy and quality of life;
- 8 (2) Continued efficiency and effectiveness depend on a stable 9 and reliable source of revenue to fund the system's maintenance, 10 operation, preservation and improvements;
- 11 (3) The motor vehicle fuel tax has been a fundamental means of 12 paying for state and federal roads. Until recently, these taxes 13 have been an adequate and stable source of revenue;
- (4) Since 1980, with gradual gains in average vehicle fuel seconomy, vehicle miles traveled has doubled while fuel consumption itself has increased by just half. Long-range projections for fuel consumption and vehicle miles traveled from the Energy Information Administration (EIA) suggest that this trend will continue;
- 19 (5) Changing conditions in the fuel market since the year 2000 20 have driven changes in consumers' tastes in the vehicle market, 21 with a shift toward vehicles with superior fuel economy and 22 vehicles that rely on alternative fuels;
- 23 (6) Because the average individual vehicle miles traveled is

- 1 expected to grow faster than fuel consumption in the coming
- 2 decades, alternative funding mechanisms based on alternative
- 3 funding sources, such as vehicle miles traveled, for roads and
- 4 highways should provide a more stable source of revenue;
- 5 (7) According to EIA projections, federal fuel tax revenue,
- 6 assuming current per-gallon rates, should increase by about ten
- 7 percent between 2015 and 2030, growing from \$36 billion to \$39
- 8 billion (2009 dollars).
- 9 (8) If, instead, fuel taxes were replaced with an alternative
- 10 funding source, such as fees based on vehicle miles traveled, in
- 11 2015 at an initially revenue-neutral rate, receipts should increase
- 12 by thirty-three percent over the same period, growing from \$36
- 13 billion to \$47 billion; and
- 14 (9) Other states and the federal government face the same
- 15 difficulty of stagnating fuel tax revenues. A number of other
- 16 states have embarked on pilot projects and other efforts over the
- 17 last decade to evaluate potential new systems for the assessment
- 18 and collection of taxes or fees for the use of a transportation
- 19 system. Such efforts have shown that new systems to assess a fee
- 20 based on usage of state and local roads are indeed technologically
- 21 feasible today.
- 22 (b) It is the intent of the Legislature to establish the
- 23 governance structure and groundwork needed to adopt an alternative

- 1 source of revenue collection for road development and maintenance
- 2 that will supplement the current system of motor vehicle fuel
- 3 taxation. To this end, this section provides for a study,
- 4 conducted by the Commissioner of Highways, to guide the first
- 5 stages of the transition, focusing on determining the feasibility
- 6 and optimal methods of implementation for a road user assessment.
- 7 (c) The study created under subsection (b) of this section 8 shall:
- 9 (1) Research and review relevant reports, data, and efforts in
- 10 other states and at the federal level with regard to models of
- 11 assessment and methods of transitioning to an alternative system of
- 12 paying for West Virginia roads and highways and analyze the
- 13 research to identify issues for policy decisions in West Virginia;
- 14 (2) Make recommendations on the design for a pilot project or
- 15 projects. The recommendations must be submitted to the Legislature
- 16 by November 1, 2013; and
- 17 (3) In arriving at its recommendations:
- 18 (A) Determine and apply criteria for identifying the most
- 19 promising road usage fee collection implementation options to
- 20 evaluate in a pilot project;
- 21 (B) Identify uncertainties that would need to be resolved in
- 22 order to develop a technically feasible and cost-effective system
- 23 of road use fee collection; and

- 1 (C) Propose legislation that would enable the conduct of pilot 2 program or programs.
- 3 (d) In order to assist the Commissioner of Highways in making
- 4 recommendations, the department may participate in studies and
- 5 limited pilot projects to test technical feasibility that can be
- 6 accomplished within existing resources.

NOTE: The purpose of this bill is to direct the Commissioner of Highways to conduct a study on alternative revenue mechanisms for development and maintenance of state roads and highways.

This section is new; therefore, strike-throughs and underscoring have been omitted.